

**THE CITY OF CHESTERFIELD
ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD
DECEMBER 15, 2005**

- I. CALL TO ORDER:** Dave Whitfield, Chair, calls the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT

Mr. Matt Adams
Mr. Bryant Conant
Mr. Rick Clawson
Mr. Bud Gruchalla
Mr. Dave Whitfield
Mr. David Banks, PC Liaison
Ms. Annissa McCaskill-Clay, Assistant Director of Planning
Ms. Aimee Nassif, Project Planner

ABSENT

Mr. Jerry Right

II. PROJECT PRESENTATIONS:

- A. Estates at Wildhorse Canyon: A Site Development Plan, Architectural Elevations, Landscape Plan, and Architect's Statement of Design for a 25.132 acre tract of land located north of Wild Horse Creek Road, east of Eatherton.**

Project Planner Aimee Nassif presented the project for twelve (12) single-family detached homes. Building materials will consist of brick, stone, EIFS, and siding with asphalt arch shingles on roof. After review of the project, the Department of Planning found no outstanding issues.

Item(s) Discussed:

- Average lot size (2 acres)
- Landscape buffer along Wild Horse Creek Road
- Set back distance from Wild Horse Creek Road

Area(s) of Concern:

- ❖ Proportion

The Architectural Review Board voted 5-0 to forward the project for approval.

B. Stoneridge Office Building: Architectural Elevations and Landscape Plan for a “PC” Planned Commercial located on the south side of South Outer Forty Road, northeast of Yarmouth Point Drive and Candish Lane.

Assistant Director of Planning Annissa McCaskill-Clay presented the project for a 52,135 square-foot office building on a vacant parcel. Building materials will consist of brick veneer, cast stone accents and end element, aluminum storefront strip window with reflective insulated glass and clear anodized frames. After review of the project, the Department of Planning found no outstanding issues.

ARB member Rick Clawson excused himself from the discussion and voting of this project.

Item(s) Discussed:

- Distance from Chesterfield Hills Subdivision
- No touch zone
- All surface parking
- No future phases
- Retaining wall

Area(s) of Concern:

❖ None

The Architectural Review Board voted 4-0 to forward the project for approval, and commended the building architecture and landscaping.

III. APPROVAL OF THE NOVEMBER 17, 2005 MEETING SUMMARY

The meeting summary was accepted as written.

IV. OLD BUSINESS

None

V. NEW BUSINESS

None

VI. MISCELLANEOUS

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.